

2. ESTIMATES: O , o -NOTATION, STIRLING FORMULA, BIRTHDAY PARADOX AND THE BELL CURVE

To read:

- [1] 2.2.4. Pigeonhole principle. 2.2.5 The Twin Paradox
 [3] 3.4. Estimates: an introduction - starting from 3.4.2. - Big Oh, little oh, 3.5.5. Estimate $n!$ - second proof only, 3.7. Inclusion - Exclusion.

2.1. O , o -notation.

Definition 2.1. Let $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is *big-Oh* of g and we write $f(x) = O(g(x))$ if there exist n_0 and c constants such that for all $n > n_0$, we have $|f(n)| < c \cdot |g(n)|$.

Definition 2.2. Let $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is *little-oh* of g and we write $f(x) = o(g(x))$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0.$$

Examples: $n = O(n^2)$ and also $n = o(n^2)$, $n = O(2^n)$, $n = o(2^n)$, $\sin(n) = O(1)$ and $\sin(n)$ is not $o(1)$.

2.2. Stirling's formula.

Theorem 2.3. (*Stirling's formula*)

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n,$$

where \sim is used to indicate that the ratio of the two sides tends to 1 as n goes to ∞ .

2.3. Twin paradox. Suppose that there are 50 students in a math class. What are the chances that two of them share the same birthday?

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $k \leq n$ are positive integers and each of k different people chooses 1 element from the set $[n]$. Their choices are uniformly random and independent. Then the probability $P = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!n^k}$ that they have chosen k different elements can be estimated as

$$e^{\frac{-k(k-1)}{2(n-k+1)}} \leq P \leq e^{\frac{-k(k-1)}{2n}}.$$

Proof. We will use the following inequality for $\ln(x)$.

Lemma 2.5. For $x > 0$,

$$\frac{x-1}{x} \leq \ln(x) \leq x-1.$$

Now we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left(\frac{n^k}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \right) &= \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-2} \right) + \cdots + \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\frac{n}{n-1} - 1}{\frac{n}{n-1}} + \frac{\frac{n}{n-2} - 1}{\frac{n}{n-2}} + \cdots + \frac{\frac{n}{n-k+1} - 1}{\frac{n}{n-k+1}} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{n} + \cdots + \frac{k-1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (1 + 2 + \cdots + (k-1)) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \left(\frac{n^k}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)} \right) &= \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-2} \right) + \cdots + \ln \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1} \right) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{n}{n-1} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{n}{n-2} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{n}{n-k+1} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{2}{n-2} + \cdots + \frac{k-1}{n-k+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n-k+1} + \frac{2}{n-k+1} + \cdots + \frac{k-1}{n-k+1} = \frac{1}{n-k+1} (1 + 2 + \cdots + (k-1)) \\
 &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2(n-k+1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the exponential function to both sides of our estimates we get the following:

$$e^{\frac{-k(k-1)}{2(n-k+1)}} \leq \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{n^k} \leq e^{\frac{-k(k-1)}{2n}}.$$

□

So the answer to the question in the beginning of this paragraph is between 96.51% and 97.93%. More precisely, the probability is about 97.03%.

Now we will estimate the binomial coefficients. The binomial coefficients in the n -th row of the Pascal's triangle satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\binom{n}{0} < \binom{n}{1} < \cdots < \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$$

and

$$\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} > \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2} > \cdots > \binom{n}{1} > \binom{n}{0}.$$

Therefore, the middle binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ is the largest in the respective row. Stirling's formula implies that the largest binomial coefficient satisfies

$$\binom{n}{n/2} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} 2^n.$$

Also we have the following formula describes how binomial coefficients decrease as we move away from the middle of the Pascal's triangle.

Proposition 2.6. *Let m, t be positive integers and $t \leq m$. Then*

$$e^{-t^2/(m-t+1)} \leq \frac{\binom{2m}{m-t}}{\binom{2m}{m}} \leq e^{-t^2/(m+t)}.$$

Proof. Here we prove the lower bound. We have

$$\frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{\binom{2m}{m-t}} = \frac{(m+t)(m+t-1) \cdots (m+1)}{m(m-1) \cdots (m-t+1)}.$$

It will be convenient for us to estimate the logarithm of this quantity.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ln \left(\frac{(m+t)(m+t-1) \cdots (m+1)}{m(m-1) \cdots (m-t+1)} \right) &= \ln \left(\frac{m+t}{m} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{m+t-1}{m-1} \right) + \cdots + \ln \left(\frac{m+1}{m-t+1} \right) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{m+t}{m} - 1 \right) + \left(\frac{m+t-1}{m-1} - 1 \right) + \cdots + \left(\frac{m+1}{m-t+1} - 1 \right) = \frac{t}{m} + \frac{t}{m-1} + \cdots + \frac{t}{m-t+1} \\
 &\leq \frac{t}{m-t+1} + \frac{t}{m-t+1} + \cdots + \frac{t}{m-t+1} = \frac{t^2}{m-t+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements: I thank Prof. Janos Pach for designing this course and Dr. Matthew de Courcy-Ireland for sharing his lecture notes.

REFERENCES

- [1] Discrete Mathematics (L. Lovasz, J. Pelikan , K. Vesztergombi);
- [2] Combinatorics: Set Systems, Hypergraphs, Families of Vectors and Combinatorial Probability (B. Bollobas);
- [3] Invitation to Discrete Mathematics (J. Matousek, J. Nešetřil).
- [4] Extremal combinatorics (S. Jukna).
- [5] Combinatorial theory (M. Hall), Blaisdell publishing company, 1967.